

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 WESTERN DIVISION
11

12 MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS,
13 INC.

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 SILERGY CORPORATION and
17 SILERGY TECHNOLOGY

18 Defendants.
19

Case No. CV-10-01533 CAS (AGRx)s

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
MONOLITHIC POWER SYSTEMS,
INC.'S *EX PARTE* APPLICATION
FOR CLARIFICATION OF ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S
REQUEST TO CONDUCT
LIMITED JURISDICTIONAL
DISCOVERY**

20 Having carefully considered Plaintiff Monolithic Power Systems, Inc.'s *Ex*
21 *Parte* Application for Clarification of the Court's January 6, 2011 Order, the Court
22 **GRANTS** the Application.

23 **IT IS ORDERED** that the following list of topics fall within the scope of the
24 Court's January 6, 2011 Order:

- 25 1. The nature of Mr. Grimm's responsibilities at Silergy Technology.
26
27

2. Whether Mr. Grimm's responsibilities at Silergy Technology have any relation to Silergy Corp.
3. The nature of Mr. Grimm's responsibilities to Silergy Corp.
4. The nature of Mr. Grimm's communications with Silergy Corp. or its employees, and whether he had any communications with them at the time the Complaint was served.
5. The relationship between Silergy Technology and Silergy Corp. including identifying and describing any agreements, including licensing agreements, between the two.
6. Meetings between Mr. Grimm and Silergy Corp. at the time of service of process.
7. The nature of any work that Mr. Grimm or Silergy Technology was conducting on behalf of Silergy Corp. at the time of service.
8. The nature of any visits by Mr. Grimm to Silergy Corp.
9. Whether Mr. Grimm signed any documents on behalf of Silergy Corp.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all documents relevant to these topics be produced, and that Michael Grimm be made available for an additional deposition with respect to these topics.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Hon. Christina A. Snyder
United States District Judge